UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,733	02/02/2006	Akira Maenishi	L7002.06101	9734
52989 Dickinson Wrig	7590 11/18/2019 ht PLLC	EXAMINER		
James E. Ledbe	tter, Esq.	AKRAM, IMRAN		
International Sq 1875 Eye Street	juare t, N.W., Suite 1200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Washington, Do		1723		
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/18/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary			733	MAENISHI ET AL	MAENISHI ET AL.		
			r	Art Unit			
		IMRAN A	AKRAM	1723			
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communic Reply	ation appears on th	ne cover sheet with	n the correspondence a	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
2a)⊠ ∃ 3)□ \$	Responsive to communication(s) filed This action is FINAL . 2b Since this application is in condition followed in accordance with the practice	o)∏ This action is or allowance excep	non-final. t for formal matte	· •	e merits is		
Dispositio	on of Claims						
5)		- <u>29</u> is/are withdraw on and/or election		tion.			
 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. 							
Priority ur	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notice 3) Inform	s) of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTo ation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	D-948)	Paper No(s)/	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application			

Application/Control Number: 10/566,733 Page 2

Art Unit: 1723

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 (and its dependents) have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection necessitated by amendment. The Komiya reference is still used to reject claim 1, but in a different form due to the amendment. Dependent claims 2-8 are rejected as before. Claim 16 and 17 have been modified due to the amendment, as well, but arguments have not been presented towards claim 16 and 17 outside of their dependency on claim 1.
- 2. Applicant asserts that the Komiya reference no longer anticipates claim 1 due to the amendment clarifying where the water evaporator and reforming catalyst body are located. Examiner respectfully disagrees. Komiya still anticipates claim 1, by in a different manner as described in the rejection below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 16, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Komiya (US 2002/0042035 A1).
- 5. Regarding claim 1, Komiya discloses a reformer **2** that has a cylindrical or tubular shape (paragraph 11) with a first wall element **61** and a second wall element **62**

Application/Control Number: 10/566,733

Art Unit: 1723

disposed coaxially outside the first wall element (see figure 1); a tubular space 51 exists between the two wall elements and is provided with a evaporator portion 51a and a reforming catalyst body 8 in axial relation with one another (see figure 1); a water inlet at the second wall element 62 (see gap at the top right portion of wall 62); and a feed gas inlet 26 at the second wall element 62 (see gap at top left portion of wall 62). The reformer generates hydrogen with steam and feed gas (paragraph 4). The reformer causes the feed gas and steam to flow from the water evaporator to the reforming catalyst (paragraph 12). While the evaporator portion 51a is not called an evaporator but a pre-heat layer instead, water is transmitted to the pre-heat layer 51a via the heating channel 48 and is converted to steam in the process (paragraph 88). Where and when evaporation of the water occurs is process condition—dependent.

Page 3

- 6. Regarding claim 2, Komiya discloses that the reformed gas is caused to flow from an axial end of said reforming catalyst body (paragraph 70).
- 7. Regarding claim 3, Komiya discloses that said water evaporator is disposed under said reforming catalyst body (see figure 1) as this is simply a matter of orientation. The reforming would be fully capable of operating upside-down from that depicted in figure 1 and the apparatus components and positioning would be the same.
- 8. Regarding claim 4, Komiya discloses that said first and second tubular wall elements are each constructed of a cylindrical seamless pipe (see figure 1).
- 9. Regarding claim 5, Komiya discloses a burner **18** configured to combust a combustible gas to generate a combustion gas (paragraph 61); and a third tubular wall element **14** disposed inward of said first tubular wall element **61** and coaxially with said

first tubular wall element **61** (see figure 1), wherein the combustion gas is caused to flow in a tubular space which is a combustion gas passage **80** formed between said first and third tubular wall elements (see figure 1 and paragraph 61).

- 10. Regarding claim 6, Komiya discloses that said burner is oriented to cause a flame to be emitted upward from said burner (see figure 1). Again, this is a matter of orientation, and the apparatus can be turned around.
- 11. Regarding claim 7, Komiya discloses that said burner is disposed in an internal space of said third tubular wall element 14 (see figure 1), said hydrogen generator further comprising: a first lid element 71 disposed with a gap between said first lid element and an upper end of said third tubular wall element 14 so as to close an upper end of said first tubular wall element 61, wherein the combustion gas generated in said burner is caused to flow from an interior of said third tubular wall element into the combustion gas passage 80 through the gap (see figure 1).
- 12. Regarding claim 8, Komiya discloses that the combustion gas flows along the first wall element via passage **80** on its way to a break formed in the first wall element **61** to combustion outlet **24**. Whether this direction is considered "downwards" is, too, a matter of orientation.
- 13. Regarding claim 16, Komiya discloses a tubular cover **63** that is configured to cover said second tubular wall element **62** and forms a double-walled pipe along with said second tubular wall element **63** (see figure 1), wherein the reformed gas flowing out from said reforming catalyst body (paragraph 70) is caused to flow a tubular space

Art Unit: 1723

50 between said second tubular wall element 62 and said tubular cover 63 (paragraph70).

14. Regarding claim 17, Komiya discloses a rod element 81 disposed at a position of the reformed gas passage to extend in a circumferential direction of said second tubular wall element 62 (paragraph 72), and the rod element is sandwiched between said second tubular wall element 62 and said tubular cover 63 (see figure 1). The rod is considered flexible as it is wound around the tubular element.

Conclusion

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,733 Page 6

Art Unit: 1723

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to IMRAN AKRAM whose telephone number is (571)270-3241. The examiner can normally be reached on 10-7 Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Alexa Neckel can be reached on 571-272-1446. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/I. A./ Examiner, Art Unit 1723

/Alexa D. Neckel/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1723